Programmatic Biological Assessment Project Submittal Package - Page 1		
Project Number/PCN:	Submitted By:	
	Date:	
Roadway and Project Limits:	Legal Description (Township/Range):	
Year of Construction and Approximate Duration:	County (or Counties):	
*If more room is needed for any of the above information, add to	project description on Page 2.	
Threatened and Endangered Species/Critical Habitat Occu	rrence in County (or Counties)	
Interior Least Tern Poweshiek S	kipperling Northern Long-Eared Bat*	
Whooping Crane Piping Plove	Critical Habitat	
Black-Footed Ferret Western Pra	irie Fringed Orchid Dakota Skipper Designated	
Pallid Sturgeon Dakota Skipp	Der Critical Habitat	
Gray Wolf Rufa Red Kn	ot Poweshiek Skipperling Designated Critical Habitat	
Dakota skipper or Poweshiek skipperling critical habitat is located within 0.6 mile of the project (see links below)		
Piping plover critical habitat is located within 0.5 mile of	the project (see links below)	
*Note– Projects that have tree removals, building removals, or structure the framework and guidelines set forth in the Programmatic 4(d) if the co USFWS PBA cannot be implemented. The 4 (d) rule streamlined consultat	onservation measures identified in the NDDOT/FHWA PBA or FHWA/	
https://www.fws.gov/midwest/endangered/mammals/nleb/s7.html		
A county list of threatened and endangered species (and critica	habitat) can be found within the PBA or at the following link:	
https://www.fws.gov/northdakotafieldoffice/SEtable.pdf		
Paper maps of piping plover critical habitat units can be found a	at the following link:	
https://www.fws.gov/mountain-prairie/es/pipingPlover.php		
Paper maps of Dakota skipper/Poweshiek skipperling critical ha	bitat units can be found at the following link:	
https://www.fws.gov/midwest/Endangered/insects/dask/finalch	.html	
Shapefiles of critical habitat units can be found and downloade	d at the following link:	
http://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/report/table/critical-habitat.html		
The following web application to search for critical habitats in r	elation to project locations:	
http://gis.dot.nd.gov/external/ge_html/?viewer=wildlifemap		

Programmatic Biological Assessment Project Submittal Package - Page 2				
Will Work Stay Within Existing ROW:?	Permanent / Temporary ROW Acreage:			
Yes No				
Wetland Mitigation Required?	Temporary Bypass Required? (If yes, show location on			
Yes: On-Site Yes: Off-Site No	map) Yes No			
Off-Site Location:	SWPPP Plan Required? (Note if ground disturbance is 1 or more acres, a SWPPP plan is necessary).			
Amount of Ground Disturbance in Acres:	Yes No			
Level III/IV Ecoregion:				
Project Description (attach maps of project):				

Project Description (attach maps of project):

Project Description Continued:

Applicable Conservation Measures:

CM 1: The contractor will notify the Project engineer immediately in the event any threatened or endangered species is identified within one mile of the proposed action. The Project engineer will cease all construction activities, establish at least a 0.5 mile avoidance area, and immediately coordinate with the USFWS, FHWA, and NDDOT Environmental and Transportation Services. The contractor will not resume work within the avoidance area until the Project engineer has confirmed with the agencies that work may proceed (either species have left the area or approved minimization measures have been implemented). *This conservation measure must be used for all projects using the programmatic biological assessment*. Note- For all projects that use the programmatic biological assessment for Section 7 compliance, a threatened and endangered species poster or pamphlet will be provided on all job sites.

Interior Least Tern / Piping Plover / Rufa Red Knot

CM 2: Construction activities shall be avoided within 0.5 miles of suitable habitat (between Station X and Station X) for the interior least tern and piping plover between the dates of April 15 and August 31. Note– Suitable habitat for the interior least tern includes the Missouri River (including reservoirs) and Yellowstone River Systems. Suitable habitat for the piping plover and rufa red knot include all designated critical habitat for the piping plover and other known nesting areas.

CM 3: If construction occurs during the least tern and piping plover breeding season (April 15 – August 31), an initial field survey will be conducted within suitable habitat located inside a 0.5 mile buffer area of construction activities. The survey will occur two days before beginning construction to identify suitable habitat (e.g. islands, sandbars, or exposed shoreline).

No Habitat Present: If no suitable habitat is identified during the initial survey, surveys will be conducted weekly to identify any new habitat uncovered by the river. End surveys July 15 if no suitable nesting habitat, nesting pairs, or nests have been identified. Construction can resume without surveys on July 16 if it has been determined no active nests are located within 0.6 miles of any construction activities. Note– On alkali lakes and wetlands, if no suitable habitat is identified during the initial visit, weekly surveys would not be required as it would be highly unlikely water levels would fluctuate enough to reveal suitable habitat.

Habitat Present: If suitable habitat has been identified and construction activities will take place within 0.5 miles of the habitat, 2 surveys will be conducted daily:

- 1) The first survey will be conducted for 2 hours during daylight before starting construction activities for that day.
- 2) The second survey will be conducted for 1 hour beginning after work has stopped for that day. Construction activities will stop early enough to allow for the survey to be completed no later than 30 minutes after sunset.

If nests, an individual, or pair of birds are detected during surveys, the Engineer will be notified, construction activities will cease, and at least a 0.5 mile avoidance area will be established. The Engineer will contact USFWS, FHWA, and NDDOT ETS Division to determine methods to be implemented to avoid adverse effects to the species. If active nests are discovered construction activities will be prohibited within 0.5 mile of the active nest. Construction activities will not resume within the avoidance area until one of the following has been fulfilled: daily surveys show that the birds have vacated the area, mitigation measures determined by the contacted agencies have been implemented, or September 1 has been reached.

Note: Surveys will be conducted only until July 15 as nest establishment is not anticipated to occur past this date. However, if active nests or individuals/pairs are discovered on July 15 or earlier, surveys must resume until the fate of the nest has been determined (abandoned, destroyed, hatched, fledged), and/or the birds have left the area.

Whooping Crane

CM 4: Line markers (bird diverters) will be placed along the segment(s) of overhead utilities to be raised, lowered, and/ or moved within 1 mile of whooping crane stopover habitat to reduce the risk of flight collisions.

Pallid Sturgeon

CM 5: If environmental conditions vary greatly from the hydro-acoustic noise monitoring study conducted in 2015, additional monitoring may be required to ensure exposure levels do not exceed accumulated and peak threshold levels for the pallid sturgeon and that adverse effects do not occur. The hydro-acoustic noise monitoring study can be found as an appendix in the programmatic biological assessment.

Western Prairie Fringed Orchid

CM 6: A survey for western prairie fringed orchids shall be conducted prior to construction for projects occurring within Ransom and Richland Counties that require ground disturbing activities. In order to detect the species, surveys would need to be conducted in late June to mid July. Contact the U.S. Forest Service, Dakota Prairie Grasslands: Sheyenne Ranger District (701-683-4342) for appropriate timing of surveys for a specific year.

Dakota Skipper / Poweshiek Skipperling

CM 7: Conduct a vegetation inventory for all areas identified as potential untilled native prairie to be directly impacted by construction activities to determine the presence of Type A or Type B Dakota skipper habitat. Note- these commitments are meant for areas outside of designated critical habitat units. See Dakota skipper critical habitat section for further information on critical habitat areas. Note - If suitable habitat is identified, a presence/absence survey for the Dakota skipper must be conducted by qualified individuals. If Dakota skippers are not detected during surveys, the project may proceed under the PBA, depending on the scope of work activities. If Dakota skippers are detected during surveys, separate consultation would be required.

Northern Long-Eared Bat

In order for this species to be covered under the programmatic biological assessment, the following conservation measures must be used if the project requires tree removals, bridge/box culvert work, structure removals, or night construction.

<u>Lighting</u>

CM 8: Direct temporary lighting away from suitable habitat during the active season (April 1—September 30).

Tree Removal

The word "trees" as used in the following conservation measures refers to trees that are suitable habitat for the NLEB (see Section 5.1.11 in the PBA for definition).

CM 9: Conduct tree removal activities outside of the active season for the northern long-eared bat (April 1— September 30).

CM 10: Ensure tree removal is limited to that specified in project plans. Install bright colored flagging/fencing prior to any tree clearing to ensure contractors stay within clearing limits.

CM 11: Do not cut down documented NLEB roosts or trees within 0.25 miles of roosts, or documented foraging habitat during any time of year. Note- North Dakota currently does not have documented NLEB roosts or foraging habitat at this point in time. Should these types of features be identified in the future, this measure may need to be implemented to avoid adverse effects.

Bridges/Box Culverts

CM 12: To completely avoid direct effects to roosting bats, perform any bridge repair, retrofit, maintenance, and/or rehabilitation work during the winter hibernation period (October 1—March 31).

See following page for further conservation measures for this species.

Northern Long-Eared Bat Continued

Bridges/Box Culverts Continued

CM 13: If construction is planned during the active season, perform a bridge assessment for the presence of bats. See Appendix I of the programmatic biological assessment. If bats are not observed, no further action is required. If bats are observed, see conservation measure below.

The following work activities may be conducted without performing a bridge assessment for the presence of bats:

- Above deck work that does not drill down to the underside of the deck or include percussives (vibrations) or noise levels above general traffic (e.g. road paving, wing-wall work, work above that does not drill down to the underside of the deck).
- Below deck work that is conducted away from roosting bats and does not involve percussives or noise levels above general traffic (e.g., some abutment, beam end, scour, or pier repair).

CM 14: If bridge assessment for bats suggests presence of a small number of bats (5), conduct bridge repair, retrofit, maintenance, and/or rehabilitation work (including activity with percussives) outside of pup season (June 1—July 31) and keep light localized in the evening while the bats are feeding, starting one hour after sunset and ending one hour before daylight, excluding the hours between 10 p.m. and midnight. Note– This measure is in regards to day roosts. If a structure is identified as a night roost only, conduct all work on bridge in the day or evening (excluding the hours between 10 p.m. and midnight or evening (excluding the hours between 10 p.m. and midnight or evening (excluding the hours between 10 p.m. and midnight (peak night roosting hours). Note– If a large number of bats are observed, further action will be required.

<u>Structures</u>

Structures include but are not limited to, rest areas, offices, sheds, outbuildings, and barns.

CM 15: Perform structure removal during the winter hibernation period (October 1—March 31).

CM 16: If structure cannot be removed during the winter hibernation period, perform an inspection of the structure for signs of bat activity.

Note- If no signs of bat activity/use is observed, structure may be removed at any time. If signs of bat use observed, and

Piping Plover Critical Habitat

CM 17: Clearly mark limits of construction within or adjacent to boundaries of designated critical habitat.

CM 18: Fueling or staging areas are not to be placed directly adjacent to or within the boundaries of critical habitat.

Dakota Skipper and Poweshiek Skipperling Critical Habitat

CM 19: Clearly mark limits of construction within or adjacent to boundaries of designated critical habitat.

CM 20: Fueling or staging areas are not to be placed directly adjacent to or within the boundaries of critical habitat.

CM 21: For Dakota skipper critical habitat units 5, 6, and 9: any ground disturbing activities prior to June 15 — July 15 shall be avoided.

Standard Conservation Measures:

- Disturb the smallest footprint possible.
- Reclaim disturbed areas upon project completion.
- Utilize downcast and/or shielded lighting.
- Implement a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP). Employ and maintain erosion control measures (i.e. fiber rolls, straw wattles, erosion mats, silt fence, and/or turbidity barriers, etc.) throughout the duration of a project and until vegetation is established.
- If required, implement a Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure Plan (SPCC).
- Employ dust control measures.
- Spot-spray herbicides rather than broadcast application on invasive/noxious weeds o Note- herbicide use is typically not conducted as part of roadway construction projects, but rather as part of routine maintenance operations.
- In accordance with state and federal laws, properly contain and dispose of any contaminated materials discovered during construction activities.
- Employ mufflers on all combustion engines.
- Properly contain and dispose of garbage/trash generated as a result of construction activities.

Additional Conservation Measures (must be approved by FHWA, NDDOT, and USFWS):

	Programmatic Biological Assessment Project Submittal Package - Page 9		
Interio	or Least Tern Affect Determination:		
	Due to work within 0.5 mile of the Missouri River (including reservoirs) and Yellowstone River systems, this species may be exposed to direct or indirect effects. With the implementation of standard and species-specific conservation measures, adverse effects are highly unlikely. Therefore, the project <i>may affect, but is not likely to adversely affect</i> the interior least tern.		
	Although the interior least tern may be present in the counties identified in this project submittal package, there is no suitable habitat for this species within 0.5 mile of the project (i.e. project not located within 0.5 mile of Missouri/Yellowstone River or reservoirs); therefore, no direct or indirect effects would occur to this species. As a result, the project would have no effect to the interior least tern.		
	No Effect —Species not present in the counties identified in this project submittal package.		
Whoo	ping Crane Affect Determination:		
	Due to presence of suitable habitat (cropland/wetland associations) within a half mile of the project, this spe- cies may be exposed to direct or indirect effects. With the implementation of standard and species-specific conservation measures, adverse effects are highly unlikely. Therefore, the project <i>may affect, but is not likely</i> <i>to adversely affect</i> the whooping crane.		
	Although the whooping crane may be present in the counties identified in this project submittal package, there is no suitable habitat for this species within 0.5 mile of the project (i.e. project location in highly developed or urban area or no cropland/wetland associations) or the project is localized in a rural area (i.e. standalone bridge projects or ITS/signing projects); therefore, no direct or indirect effects would occur to this species. As a result, the project would have no effect to the whooping crane.		
	*Note– Species may occur throughout the state of North Dakota, but sightings occur primarily in the whoop- ing crane migration corridor. See programmatic biological assessment for map of primary migration corridor.		
Black-	Footed Ferret Affect Determination:		
	Due to potential impacts to an active prairie dog colony, the black-footed ferret may be exposed to direct or indirect effects (i.e. habitat loss/fragmentation). With the implementation of standard and species-specific conservation measures, adverse effects are highly unlikely; therefore, the project <i>may affect, but is not likely to adversely affect</i> the black-footed ferret. If black-footed ferrets are discovered or re-introductions occur in the future, separate consultation would be needed if a project occurs within 0.5 mile of a prairie dog town occupied by black-footed ferrets.		
	Although the black-footed ferret may be present in the counties identified in this project submittal package, there is no suitable habitat for this species (i.e. prairie dog towns 80 acres or larger) within 0.5 mile of the project; therefore, no direct or indirect effects would occur to this species. As a result, the project would have no effect to the black-footed ferret.		
	No Effect —Species not present in counties identified in this project submittal package.		

P	Programmatic Biological Assessment Project Submittal Package - Page 10		
Pallid	Sturgeon Affect Determination:		
	Due to in-water work within the Missouri River (including reservoirs) and Yellowstone River systems (or di- rect tributary within 0.5 mile of these systems) this species may be exposed to direct or indirect effects. With the implementation of standard and species-specific conservation measures, adverse effects are highly un- likely; therefore, the project may affect, but is not likely to adversely affect the pallid sturgeon.		
	Although the pallid sturgeon may be present in the counties identified in this project submittal package, the project would not require in-water work within the Missouri River (including reservoirs) and Yellowstone River systems (or direct tributary within 0.5 mile of these systems); therefore, no direct or indirect effects would occur to this species. As a result, the project would have no effect to the pallid sturgeon.		
	No Effect —Species not present in the counties identified in this project submittal package.		
Gray V	Nolf Affect Determination:		
	The gray wolf may be found throughout the entire state of North Dakota; however, no known populations exist. The state functions as dispersal habitat between two separate populations and confirmed sightings are rare. The highest quality habitat for this species occurs in the Turtle Mountains and Pembina Gorge areas in northern North Dakota. Since the project occurs in a rural area of North Dakota there is a potential for direct or indirect effects to this species. Given the avoidance habits of gray wolves, wide ranging ability of species, abundance of agriculture land and lack of preferred habitat, any potential adverse effects are highly unlikely. With the implementation of standard conservation measures, including the cease of work activities if a gray wolf was to be observed near a construction site, the project <i>may affect, but is not likely to adversely affect</i> the gray wolf.		
	Although the gray wolf may be present in the counties identified in this project submittal package, the pro- ject is located in an urban area or is localized in a rural area (stand alone bridge projects or other localized projects such as ITS/signing projects). As a result, the project would have no effect to the gray wolf.		
	*Note– Species may occur throughout the state of North Dakota.		
Powes	shiek Skipperling Affect Determination:		
	Although currently presumed to be extirpated in North Dakota, due to ground disturbing activities outside of previously disturbed ROW in high quality prairie, this species may be exposed to direct or indirect effects (i.e. habitat loss/degradation, establishment of noxious weeds, etc.). With the implementation of standard and species-specific conservation measures, adverse effects are highly unlikely; therefore, the project <i>may affect</i> , <i>but is not likely to adversely affect</i> the Poweshiek skipperling.		
	Although the Poweshiek skipperling may be present in the counties identified in this project submittal package, there will be no ground disturbing activities in high quality native prairie; therefore, no direct or in- direct effects would occur to this species. As a result, the project would have no effect to the Poweshiek skipperling.		
	No Effect —Species not present in the counties identified in this project submittal package.		

Р	Programmatic Biological Assessment Project Submittal Package - Page 11		
Piping	Plover Affect Determination:		
	Due to work within 0.5 mile of designated critical habitat (including Missouri River and reservoirs), or known nesting areas (e.g. Yellowstone River); this species may be exposed to direct or indirect effects. With the implementation of standard and species-specific conservation measures, adverse effects are highly unlikely; therefore, the project <i>may affect, but is not likely to adversely affect</i> the piping plover.		
	Although the piping plover may be present in the counties identified in this project submittal package, there is no suitable habitat for this species within 0.5 mile of the project (i.e. project not located within 0.5 mile of designated critical habitat), therefore no direct or indirect effects would occur to this species. As a result, the project would have no effect to the piping plover.		
	No Effect —Species not present in the counties identified in this project submittal package.		
Weste	ern Fringed Prairie Orchid Affect Determination:		
	Due to ground disturbing activities within Ransom and Richland Counties within roadside ditches and native prairie, this species may be exposed to direct or indirect effects. With the implementation of standard and species-specific conservation measures, adverse effects are highly unlikely; therefore, the project <i>may affect, but is not likely to adversely affect</i> the western fringed prairie orchid.		
	Although the western fringed prairie orchid may be present in the counties identified in this project submittal package, no ground disturbing activities would occur, and all work activities would remain on the surface of the roadway. As a result, the project would have no effect to the western fringed prairie orchid.		
	No Effect —Species not present in the counties identified in this project submittal package.		
Dakota	a Skipper Affect Determination:		
	Due to ground disturbing activities in high quality prairie, this species may be exposed to direct or indirect effects (i.e. habitat loss/degradation, establishment of noxious weeds, etc.). With the implementation of standard and species-specific conservation measures, adverse effects are highly unlikely; therefore, the project <i>may affect, but is not likely to adversely affect</i> the Dakota skipper.		
	Although the Dakota skipper may be present in the counties identified in this project submittal package, there will be no ground disturbing activities in high quality native prairie; therefore, no direct or indirect effects would occur to this species. As a result, the project would have no effect to the Dakota skipper.		
	No Effect —Species not present in the counties identified in this project submittal package.		

F	Programmatic Biological Assessment Project Submittal Package - Page 12
Rufa F	Red Knot Affect Determination:
	Due to work within 0.5 mile of the designated critical habitat for the piping plover (including Missouri River and reservoir) or known nesting areas for the piping plover (both species use similar habitats), this species may be exposed to direct or indirect effects. With the implementation of standard and species-specific conservation measures, adverse effects are highly unlikely; therefore, the project <i>may affect, but is not likely to adversely affect</i> the rufa red knot.
	Although the rufa red knot may be present in the counties identified in this project submittal package, there is no suitable habitat for this species within 0.5 mile of the project (i.e. project not located within 0.5 mile of designated critical habitat); therefore, no direct or indirect effects would occur to this species. As a result, the project would have no effect to the rufa red knot.
	No Effect —Species not present in the counties identified in this project submittal package.
North	ern Long-Eared Bat (NLEB) Affect Determination:
	Due to presence of suitable habitat within close proximity to the project and scope of work activities (tree removals, structure work), this species may be exposed to direct or indirect effects. With the implementation of standard and species-specific conservation measures, adverse effects are highly unlikely; therefore, the project <i>may affect, but is not like-ly to adversely affect</i> the NLEB.
	Although the NLEB may be present in the counties identified in this project submittal package, the scope of work activi- ties meets one or more of the criteria outlined below. Therefore, there will be no effect to the northern long-eared bat.
	• No suitable habitat is located within 1,000 feet the project (i.e. naturally occurring forested/wooded areas or other treed habitat that directly links to forested/wooded areas). Urban areas are extremely unlikely to contain suitable habitat for this species.
	 No tree removals would be required. Tree removals only refers to suitable habitat. Single trees, planted shelter- belts, urban plantings, are typically not suitable habitat unless there are naturally forested/wooded areas in close proximity.
	 No structure work would be required (i.e. bridges/box culverts or buildings, barns, sheds, etc.) or structure work would remain on the surface of the bridge with no potential for drilling down to the underside of the deck and noise/vibrations would be similar to daily traffic or below deck work that is conducted away from potential roosting area (some abutment, beam end, and scour repair).
	• Structure work would be required and suitable habitat is located near the project; however, a survey has been com- pleted for the presence of bats and no indications of bat use (acoustic monitoring, guano accumulations, staining, etc.) were identified.
	Suitable habitat for this species is located within or adjacent to the limits of the project and conservation measures can- not be implemented. As a result, the project "may affect" the NLEB. Therefore, the project will use the NLEB 4(d) rule streamlined consultation form to complete section 7 consultation for this species. Examples of situations where this step would be necessary are given below:
	• Tree removals (suitable habitat) must occur during the active season (April 1—September 31) and/or bridge/box culvert work in areas of potential habitat (forested/wooded areas) must occur during the active season that could affect bats (i.e. bridge/box culvert replacements, deck work that requires drilling down to the underside of the deck, bridge painting, other types of projects that affect the underside of bridge/box culverts).
	*Note– Species may occur throughout the state of North Dakota.

Р	Programmatic Biological Assessment Project Submittal Package - Page 13
Piping	Plover Critical Habitat Affect Determination:
	Due to work activities directly adjacent to or within critical habitat unit boundaries, critical habitat may be exposed to direct or indirect effects. With the implementation of standard and habitat-specific conservation measures, adverse effects are highly unlikely; therefore, the project <i>may affect, but is not likely to adversely affect</i> piping plover critical habitat. If the primary constituent elements of piping plover critical habitat are adversely affected, separate consultation would be required.
	Although designated critical habitat may be present in the counties identified in this project submittal pack- age, the project would not require in-water work within critical habitat or ground disturbing activities directly adjacent to critical habitat (Missouri River (including reservoirs) and Yellowstone River systems and designat- ed alkali lakes/wetlands); therefore, no direct or indirect effects would occur to the habitat. As a result, the project would have no effect to piping plover designated critical habitat.
	No Effect —Critical habitat not present in the counties identified in this project submittal package.
Dakota	a Skipper Critical Habitat Affect Determination:
	Due to ground disturbing activities directly adjacent to critical habitat (within 0.6 mile) or within existing ROW within critical habitat unit boundaries, critical habitat may be exposed to direct or indirect effects. With the implementation of standard and habitat-specific conservation measures, adverse effects are highly unlikely. Therefore, the project may affect , but is not likely to adversely affect Dakota skipper critical habitat. If the primary constituent elements of Dakota skipper critical habitat are adversely affected, separate consultation would be required.
	Although Dakota skipper critical habitat is present in the counties identified in this project submittal package, the project is not located adjacent to / within the boundaries of critical habitat or all work activities would remain on the surface of the roadway through areas of designated critical habitat. As a result, the project would have no effect to Dakota skipper critical habitat.
	No Effect —Critical habitat not present in the counties identified in this project submittal package.
Powes	hiek Skipperling Critical Habitat Affect Determination:
	Due to work activities directly adjacent to critical habitat (within 0.6 mile) or within ROW within critical habi- tat unit boundaries, critical habitat may be exposed to direct or indirect effects. With the implementation of standard and habitat-specific conservation measures, adverse effects are highly unlikely; therefore, the pro- ject may affect, but is not likely to adversely affect Poweshiek skipperling critical habitat. If the primary con- stituent elements of Poweshiek skipperling critical habitat are adversely affected, separate consultation would be required.
	Although Poweshiek skipperling critical habitat is present in the counties identified in this project submittal package, the project would not require ground disturbing activities within or adjacent to the boundaries of critical habitat. As a result, the project would have no effect to Poweshiek skipperling critical habitat.
	No Effect —Critical habitat not present in the counties identified in this project submittal package.

Programmatic Biological Assessment Project Approval Form

Summary of Effect Determinations

Species / Critical Habitat	No Effect	NLTAA	NLTAA/LAA*	Conservation Measures
Interior Least Tern			N/A	
Whooping Crane			N/A	
Black-Footed Ferret			N/A	
Pallid Sturgeon			N/A	
Gray Wolf			N/A	
Poweshiek Skipperling			N/A	
Piping Plover			N/A	
Western Prairie Fringed Orchid			N/A	
Dakota Skipper			N/A	
Rufa Red Knot			N/A	
Northern Long-Eared Bat				
Piping Plover Critical Habitat			N/A	
Dakota Skipper Critical Habitat			N/A	
Poweshiek Skipperling Critical Habitat			N/A	

NLTAA—May Affect, Not Likely to Adversely Affect

LAA– May Affect, Likely to Adversely Affect

*Covered Under 4(d) Rule Streamlined Consultation-Note-The 4(d) form must be sent to USFWS.

The project submittal package (on-file at the NDDOT) has been reviewed for content and it has been determined that the scope of work activities associated with this project fit within the activities and sub-activities described in the deconstruction matrix of the programmatic biological assessment (PBA). The potential effects to listed resources that may occur from this project fit within the effects analysis within the PBA, fieldwork has been conducted (if required), and appropriate conservation measures have been selected. The conservation measures identified in this project submittal package must be included in the plan sheets as either environmental notes or special provisions. Should changes to the scope of work for this project occur, the additional work items will need to be reviewed for potential effects to listed species and critical habitat that may occur near or within the project area and the project submittal package will need to be revaluated. Provided no changes occur to this project, Section 7 Endangered Species Act requirements have been met under the PBA and programmatic concurrence from the USFWS.